Empowering the Urban Poor through Participatory Planning Process: A Case from Jhenaidah, Bangladesh
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Abstract
The paper analyses a community development project based in Jhenaidah, Bangladesh, which evolved through broadening social capital among slum communities and formal institutions in order to bring positive socio-spatial changes in the neighborhoods. Till date, nine disadvantaged communities have formed a network for city-wide community development, have started to build and manage their own funds, built better houses for themselves, and through this process have managed to draw attention and support from the local government. Started by a small group of architects and a local NGO in 2015, and still broadening its scopes, this project can be regarded as a successful example of people-led development initiative, especially in a context where most development projects exercise limited participatory values and are dominated by unequal power dynamics. Hence issues like scaling up and economic sustainability still concern those, who can see the community-driven development process with an unbiased attitude. The overarching goal of this article is to sketch out these issues with the help of empirical understandings from the field and theoretical findings from literature on social innovation and power in planning in order to understand how to work balance between local and institutional management of projects in order to avoid perceiving bottom-up and top-down initiatives in a dualistic manner.
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1. Introduction
Urbanization in Bangladesh is moving at a rapid pace. Between 1961 to 1981, the average urban growth rate was 8%. The present average growth rate is about 4.5%. According to the population census of 2001, the share of urban population was about 23.29% and at present it is approximately 37%. The importance of urban development is emphasized in terms of its role in the national economy. More than 60% of the national GDP is derived from the non-agricultural sectors that are mainly based in urban areas. The expansion of urban economy leads to the growth of urban population and concomitant haphazard urban spatial growth without planning. [District town infrastructure development project (DTIDP, 2015)]

The case study is from a city corporation in the western part of Bangladesh, named ‘Jhenaidah’. Jhenaidah is a medium sized municipality of Bangladesh. Jhenaidah Municipality stands on the bank of the Noboganga River. Located on 210 km west to the capital city (Dhaka) Bangladesh. Jhenaidah Municipality was established in 1958. This is a class "A" municipality. The municipality consists of 9 wards and 33 mahallas (neighborhoods).
The national focus on economic development has taken Bangladesh a long way, even with some complex problems (overpopulation or natural hazards). In a short period of time, Bangladesh is on the verge of becoming ‘middle income country’ from ‘developing country’. The economic development of the cities is driving people to come to the city for work, and Jhenaidah is no exception. So, when new people are coming to the city everyday, the need of housing is increasing.

2. Background of the community-led development project
Initially, five low-income communities had formed a city-wide network. Currently, this network has 9 communities as members and few more as interested. The basis of creating the network was to start saving group within community. The member communities have been saving since 2015. After the communities started saving, they were eligible to apply for a seed fund from ACHR (Asian Coalition for Housing Rights). ACHR usually gives two kinds of fund for city-wide development; fund for building houses and for small infrastructure upgrading, such as waste management, drainage or community space making. City-wide network at Jhenaidah has received funding from ACHR for two consecutive years. The idea is to include this as seed fund in a revolving loan system. Two beneficiary communities have developed housing with this fund and they will be repaying to city-wide network. Then the next communities in pipeline will receive the fund. The network aims to expand the fund from their own savings along with the external funds. Since 2015, the communities of Jhenaidah have built 45 houses in total. In the first year (2015-2016), Mohishakundu community built 20 houses. In the second year the same community built 8 more houses. In the second year (20116-2017), Vennatola community built 18 houses.

3. Methodology
The paper has taken qualitative approach for the research. The researchers had spent several months in Jhenaidah as ‘research stay’. During
the research stay, a number of activities had been carried out, starting from community visits, interviews of architects, NGO personnel and community members. Focused group discussions were done with different communities, in presence of community members (mostly women) regarding specific issues or topics. During the research stay, a lot of informal discussions with the community members took place, which provided insights and observations that usually is unreachable through formal meetings or discussions. Workshops can be called one of the important parts of the research stay; a vital part of understanding comes from active participation in facilitating and participating in workshops. Several workshops were organized to recognize the strengths and resources available in the local context. Through extensive report writing and journal keeping, the researchers made observations on how participatory processes is being carried out in this case.

4. Research question
There had been several attempts to address the housing need in past few decades in Bangladesh. Housing units funded by government often see a common scenario, the poor beneficiary rent the new house and leave for a cheaper option in a slum. Then the question arises if the housing project lacks the real socio-economic scenario of the people from whom the projects were aimed to. A pool of experts felt the need of bottom-up approach rather than design a low income housing project in a top-down manner. However, understanding the depth of participation in design and planning still a challenge for development professionals. The research question is formulated as following to address these issues.
1. What does community-led planning process mean and how to ensure participation in planning?
2. What changes can be brought in community people’s lives through participation?
3. Findings positive influences of the community-led process
The greatest difference between community-led development and other organization-led development lies in the freedom given to the communities. In the case of Jhenaidah city-wide community development project, the communities exercise freedom in designing and managing finance, decision making. They are equipped with tools to acquire information and to prepare physical planning upgradation. Moreover, they are able to spread the knowledge and sense of community strength through networking. The process of achieving these is elaborated in the following section.

5.1 Empowerment through group saving
Saving as a community build-up tool has various aspects. This is the first step towards participatory action, where the community takes responsibility to manage their saving, create a central fund from it and decide how the fund can be used in different development projects. It is not only about collecting money, it is also about collecting people together. “Saving in a group and expressing opinion is related in communal power dynamics. When a group of people starts to save together, every individual becomes more aware of his/her right in decision making, as they feel their monetary input is valuable for the whole group.” (Kabir, 2018). According to Farzana, one of the key architects of the project, saving is becoming community's strength. In her words, "Many communities expressed the feeling that they never had this amount of money what they have today together! It was possible because of group savings." (Farzana, 2016). The dignified part of the process is that the low-income communities do not need to seek aid; rather they express their ability to make change with some external support. In this case, the support was the seed fund that they received from ACHR. This support has accelerated the process in the beginning and people could see physical changes in a short period of time. Over the time as the saving grows bigger, the community member are also being able to take small loan for emergencies or investing in small entrepreneurship. This has in two positive results: firstly, the community members are becoming independent from outsider micro-financing organizations and trier loan cycles. Secondly, they can think about economic development through businesses.

Figure 5: Women group is considering to invest their time in craft-based product making (Farzana, 2016)

5.2 Financial mechanism design by community
As mentioned before, after continuing saving for several months, Jhenaidah communities received fund from ACHR for housing development. Each of the participant households could receive a loan of maximum 1,00,000 taka (around 1300 USD) to invest in repair/extend their house. In this funding mechanism, the money comes to the city-wide network as a grant, the city-wide network chooses beneficiary community savings group to provide a no-interest community loan. Individual households then avail the loan. Every household who receives a loan will have to return it to its own community savings committee with a certain percentage of administrative cost. The community saving groups have the freedom to decide loan repayment conditions as per their capacity. The first community to develop their housing decided the amount of administrative cost (around 2.4%) that each household agreed to pay with each instalment. They also agreed upon the duration (8 years 4 months) to repay the whole loan with weekly instalments. On the other hand, the second community felt the need to pay a small amount (Bdt 100 from each household per month) to the people who would coordinate the construction work. This community decided to repay the loan in shorter period of time (5 years). The freedom to decision making in the financial mechanism made the loan payment easier for the community contrary to any other loan system designed by outsider organisation. Usually with so many organisations working in low-income communities with microfinance, poor people get stuck in the loop of loan payment by taking loan from one organisation, to pay another organisation. When the seed fund comes back to a committee in the form of loan repayment, they can start to give loans to the next members of their own community or to the city-wide network to start the process in other communities. In this way, the fund revolves within the city. The first community has already built more houses with the repaid money.

5.4 Participation in design, planning and construction
At first, the communities prepared existing measured map of their neighborhood with the assistance from community architects. This map includes how they lived by positioning plot boundary and their owners, main houses, service structures such as kitchens, toilets, communal toilets, communal structures such as the temples, shops, infrastructure such as roads, drains, household and communal water taps etc. After mapping, community expressed their aspiration of houses through a ‘dream house’ design workshop, with the help of models. Through a collaborative design process with architects, they designed several options for houses that are affordable, low maintenance, well lit and ventilated and have better spatial arrangements. Based on these discussions, the architects designed two prototype houses and through repetitive consultations with the community. At construction the prototypes adapted to each household need. As a result, the houses became visually unique to each other. During a discussion, women at Mohishakundu Shordapara (the first community) have expressed how the process of collaborative design has changed the perception of their own capacities, one woman said: “We feel like now we can make our houses ourselves. The other day we were discussing about the budget to build the first story of our house and my daughter suggested that she could make it with half the money! The way apa (Architect Farzana) has worked with us, we feel like we are architects now!” (Mina, 2016).

5.3 Decision making by community network
The city-wide network was able to take decision about selecting beneficiary communities and the beneficiary households. To make the decision they based on few criteria. ‘Community strength’ was the first criteria, measured through the saving activities. The more community members trust each other, the more and longer they save together. Another criteria was to assess the vulnerability in terms of finance and situation of living condition. Thus, the communities were ranked to implement housing development project. Two communities has already finished their housing development while third community is being prepared with designing housing option and acquiring right to land.
Along with the NGO Alive, the communities assigned 2/3 members as the procurement and construction management team to purchase the material and to supervise the quality of construction. "When we go as a team to source and purchase material, we explain our initiative (low-income housing) to the dealer and can negotiate a great prize for the bulk purchase" said one of the members of the procurement team. (Shorifa, 2016) Each family contributed in terms of labor to reduce the cost. At this moment, the first community is designing a community center that can also be a school for elderly people. The second community has designed and now building a community center after the housing constructions.

5.5 Tools and information to the community
Mapping is a tool through which the people of the community (ies) visualize resources, problems, opportunities and solutions. The mapmaking process works as the first step to translate each participating households’ intangible ideas about housing into something tangible. Gradually, by adding layers of information and understanding, the community collectively creates a representation of their current situation and their future aspiration.

After finishing the housing project, the first community mapped existing wastewater drainage system and proposed a tertiary connection to the existing drain. This map has become a negotiating document for the community to request for that particular service from the municipality. Arappur, a community of the city-wide network without land title, has been using map with community members’ information to exercise the possibility to acquire a land. All the nine communities of city-wide network have finished settlement profiling and gathered overwhelming amount of information about each communities. These profiling have helped them to see their neighborhoods beyond its physical arrangement and identify social issues (access to services, literacy, crime against women etc.) The communities are feeling powerful with the information in their hand to negotiate for ensuring rights that they deserve from different authorities.

5.6 Networking and sharing knowledge
Moulaert, Martinelli and Gonzalez points out in a transversal analysis of socially innovative projects that local initiatives have “a symbolic, demonstrative effect on the broader urban scene, showing that change is possible... often the beginning of an interactive social learning process, blurring institutional and scalar boundaries” (Moulaert, Martinelli, & Gonzalez, 2010). We have understood this better from city-wide network of Jhenaidah. Nine communities, who live in different geographical locations of the city, have close communication with each other. The pioneer communities now acts as support group, by teaching other about mapping and saving activities. They helped to audit helped audit other communities’ savings accounts and taught bookkeeping. The first community shared thier experience of house designing and construction from where the second community found improvement for their houses. It started when two community leaders from Mohishakundu visited Sri Lanka to learn community saving mechanisms from the Women’s Development Bank. According to Masud (2016), this visit was a practical learning opportunity for both the support group and the community. During the construction phase, the support group and some participants from the community visited SAFE, an NGO in Dinajpur to learn about cost-effective bamboo treatment. Now the network grew so much that other communities from other city visit Jhenaidah to learn about community action. City-wide network of Dinajpur (a municipality from north of Bangladesh), rural communities of Jessore have visited Jhenaidah and got inspired to run saving activities in their own community. Leaders from Jhenaidah communities visited...
Shatkhira (a municipality from south of Bangladesh) to share experience with local communities, municipality and NGO (Brac). Jhenaidah leaders are preparing to attend Asian hub meeting of in Mumbai, arranged by ACHR and SDI to share their experience about settlement profiling. Thus the city-wide networking is expanding to nation-wide networking and to international platforms by horizontal sharing of knowledge.

**Figure 9:** Community leader from Mohishakundu helping with book keeping in Shoshanpara community.

The connectivity with institutions have continued to grow with the support group’s attempt of involving more local academia and professionals in the process such as the students and teachers from the Polytechnic Institute of Jhenaidah. The support group also arranged an academic design studio for housing project with Brac University with one of the disadvantaged communities in Jhenaidah. To involve students and young graduates is also a way to create interests for local development within academia and eventually in practice.

### 5.7 Change in socio-politic dynamics

A project/program that is designed to be people-centered can collapse even though participatory techniques are used. This happens when the notion of participatory design is ritualistic, serving only a face-value, people’s participation is a just a box to tick in. Involving people in every step of decision making process means when needed, there should be the flexibility to change the institutional framework or financial mechanism of the project to address the beneficiary community’s life realities, and if needed the political hegemony of the context should also be questioned. In a scenario of any development initiative, just as a sense of powerlessness is common in among slum communities, a given sense of power is common among professionals or ‘experts’. According to Farzana (2016b) the conducts with community was a transformative process for the support group. Within the support group, professionals helped each other to bypass their professional boundaries to gain the trust of community people. How the NGO officials and architects talked to community people also made a difference; it was about carefully deciding to let go of the sense of power or pride that one gains from becoming a professional or expert. Even something simple like conducting meetings in a local veranda sitting together with local people on a bamboo mat instead of at the NGO office in a formal manner mattered in this process (Farzana, 2016b). Understanding how life is perceived by the community means acting in a flexible manner, where the experts accept the “politics of difference- as opposed to a politics of othering” (Saunders, 2002). Active presence, patience, participation and trust in people-led process were important factors in the process.

The effect of this project on local governance has been spreading in a slow but sure fashion. After the construction of 20 houses in Mohishakundu Shordarpara, the local government has offered increased assistance to the project. The Mayor, along with the architect from POCAA, presented these achievements in German Habitat Forum held in Berlin. Following this, the Mayor has assured the assistance to form a CDF (City Development Fund) for disadvantaged communities in Jhenaidah. He has also offered the architects with additional technical support from the engineers of the city corporation. If seen under the light of Albrechts’ (2003) understanding of power, this is a critical transformation from a scenario where dominant relations (socio-political system or market favoring only the privileged) are changed by collective efforts supported by empowerment.

### 5.8 Power and gender dynamics

In the patriarchal practice of Bangladesh, women are perceived as less capable than men, which was evident in the beginning when men were the main voices in any discussion and community meeting. From the case studies and researches done by ACHR in different countries, it is actually women group who are instrumental in saving activities and community development process. The support group attempted to shift power, role and management responsibility to the women to empower them in the process. As women of the communities were involved in reproductive role inside of their households, it was easier for them to manage time than men would be able to. They have demonstrated excellent capability in physical and social
mapping, facilitating design and construction of houses, and managing saving accounts. The process has been a successful tool in breaking the stubborn barrier of gender inequality, even if in a slow pace and at a small scale. Since 2015, a great change can be noticed in behavior of the women, from being scared to speak at all in front of any male presence (then) to proudly present themselves as ‘community leaders’ to outside visitors (now). This shift was not easy as the male community leaders felt uncomfortable in transferring leadership in the beginning, as if that would mean letting go of their sense of control. When the male leader of Mohishakundu was asked to transfer leadership after an instance of mishandling community savings account, he was openly skeptical about leaving responsibility to women, suggesting that women alone are not capable in managing leadership responsibilities (Masud, 2016).

6. Drawbacks found through the research

Jhenaidah city-wide community upgrading process still needs to find solutions to some complex problems. The process had been encouraging for them since there was a money flow from ACHR to realize their dreams. Now that the network needs more time to accumulate money from the repayment of loan, will the communities be able to keep patience and accept the slow process? Even after a long process of mobilizing and building (housing or infrastructure) with a community, it may fall victim of self-sabotaging patterns, sometimes fail to see the bigger picture or become too cautious out of self-interests and lose faith in cohesiveness.

Boonyabancha from ACHR says, “There is a notion going around that the poor are helpless, lazy, ignorant, and untrustworthy that they do not have resources or ideas, and that they cannot think for themselves or manage money. So it follows that they need to be helped, trained, organized, spoken for and made aware. This assumption infects the policies of a great deal of the world’s development agencies and of housing-activism, shelter-delivery, and poverty-reduction programs, where solutions are conceived and carried out on the poor’s behalf by professionals, bureaucrats, activists and social organizers.” (Boonyabancha, 2017). The support group shares this same value. So for them, the leaders from the first few communities should come forward in the process while the support team gradually steps back. This has not fully happened yet in Jhenaidah. Presence of an external support group has been always needed for the communities in staying focused on collective development efforts. This issue calls for another necessary step to take- setting up a separate community fund and creating a program for capacity building. Boonyabancha from ACHR warns in this regard that, “If a community cannot manage money, it is doomed forever to having its development process determined by someone else” (Boonyabancha, cited Skinner, 2014).

In the case of Jhenaidah, the Mayor has always been supporting citizens and local organizations with logistic and legal help in their efforts in city-wide upgrading of disadvantaged communities. But the upgrading process in Jhenaidah needs more technical support from within the municipality. The slum development programs launched by the municipality must coincide the city-wide upgrading process. The dimension of support that Jhenaidah mayor has offered to the upgrading process does not necessarily reflect the status of other municipalities in Bangladesh. The readiness of local government to support disadvantaged communities is a crucial issue but if local government itself is not empowered enough through decentralization, devolution etc., it cannot do much to help the urban poor in the right scale.

7. Conclusions

Though focused on housing development, this project or to be more accurate, this process has tapped into the potential of communities to establish institutional associations and to utilize those to bring positive changes in their lives. To answer the question of the research, participation needs to engage user group in such manner, which tells that the development organization or professionals trust in people’s capacity. Despite manifold concerns felt by relevant professionals related to this process, City-wide Community Upgrading process in Jhenaidah is a successful beginning to people-centred planning/ community-driven development. Once the people fully realise the potential and benefits of this process, they will begin to invest more to the process, not only financially, but also their time and agency. Through more decentralized proposals from the grassroots’ levels, the communities can push for participatory budgeting and come out of established institutional frameworks for a better, sustainable future.
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